

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/616,843	07/14/2000	Peter Nash	C150.12.3B	6411	
75	90 02/14/2002				
RICHARD O. BARTZ			EXAMINER		
6750 FRANCE AVENUE SOUTH SUITE 350			HUYNH, P	HUYNH, PHUONG N	
EDINA, MN 5	55435		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  1644  DATE MAILED: 02/14/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/616,843	NASH ET AL.	
, tarreery , teach	Examiner	Art Unit	
	" Neon" Phuong Huynh	1644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 January 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFF of extension and the corresponding amount in the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mailing	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2.7.	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:		
(a) L they raise new issues that would require furthe	,	ee NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	•		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims	<b>3</b> .
NOTE:			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li></ol>	on(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT	place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(sexplanation of how the new or amended claims wor			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10,11 and 14-32</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	) approved or b) disappro	oved by the Examin	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement		•	
0. ☐ Other:	· // · · · · / · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del> _	
			!

**Application No. 09/616,843** 

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Amendment to the specification only fails to address the rejected claims.

**Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)** 

Claims 10-11 and 14-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krause et al (Appl Environ Microbiol 62(3): 815-21; 1996, PTO 892) in view of Tokoro et al. (US Pat No. 5,080,895, IDS; See entire document) for the same reasons set forth in Paper No 14.

Claims 17-32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krause et al (Appl Environ Microbiol 62(3): 815-21; 1996, PTO 892) and Tokoro et al. (US Pat No. 5,080,895, PTO 1449) as applied to claims 10-11 and 14-16 above and further in view of US Pat 6,086,878 (Jul 2000, PTO 892) and US Pat No. 4,166,867 (Sept 1979, PTO 892) for the same reasons set forth in Paper No 14.

CHRISTINA Y. CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP 1800 1640